

REMARKS

Claims 85-112 are pending in the application with claims 85, 92, 99, and 106 being independent. Claims 1-84 have been cancelled and claims 85-112 have been added. No new matter is added by this amendment. Reconsideration and allowance of Applicant's claims are respectfully requested in light of this amendment and the following remarks.

Claims 1 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that Applicant regards as the invention. In addition, claims 1-5, 13, 14, 20-22, 25, 27-30, 34-37, 48-50, 55-58, 63, 64, 66-68, 72, and 74-77 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,378,001 to Aditham et al. (Aditham); claim 6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aditham in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,342,906 to Kumar et al. (Kumar); claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aditham in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,418,214 to Smythe et al. (Smythe); claims 8-12, 15-19, 23, 24, 38-40, 45-47, 51-54, 60-62, 69, and 70 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aditham in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,144,991 to England (England); claims 26, 59, 65, and 73 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aditham in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,292,827 to Raz (Raz); claim 71 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aditham and England, and further in view of Raz; claims 31-33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aditham in view of the WWW Conference Article by Jacobs (Jacobs); claims 41-44 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aditham and England, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,276,901 to Howell et al. (Howell); claims 78-80, 82-84 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aditham and Kumar in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,298,356 to Jawahar et al. (Jawahar); and claim 81 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aditham, England, and Kumar in view of Jawahar.

It is respectfully submitted that these rejections are rendered moot by the cancellation of claims 1-84. Furthermore, newly added claims 85-112 define over the art of record and would

Applicant : Adam J. SIMONOFF
Serial No. : 09/551,364
Filed : April 17, 2000
Page : 9

Attorney's Docket No.: Navy Case 79,260

place the application in condition for allowance. Therefore, entry and allowance of these claims is respectfully requested.